



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_6601
Title of the Manuscript:	Phosphate Rich Soil Additive Baked Pig Manure Effectively Reduces Arsenic Concentration in Japanese Mustard Spinach (<i>Brassica rapa var. perviridis</i>) Grown with Arsenic Contaminated Irrigation Water
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Re-write the discussion with reference list.	We revised and changed some part of the discussion section of the manuscript. We also included some other related references according to reviewer's suggestion.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Please see the file attachment.	All the corrections were made according to the reviewer's comments and highlighted with yellow colour in the revised manuscript. In case of p value, we followed the provided style in the instruction of Journal which is highlighted with red colour. So, changes in p values were made. In the reference list we also corrected according to the Reviewer's suggestion, But in the case of one journals name which is highlighted with red colour, there was no abbreviation for that journal. So no change was made.